

Methodology and sources

The Greenhouse Gas Protocol Initiative (GHG protocol) is developed by the World Resources Institute (WRI) and World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD). Carbon Accounting in CEMAsys is conducted according to A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard Revised edition, currently one of four GHG Protocol accounting standards explaining how to calculate and report GHG emissions. The reporting considers the following greenhouse gases, all converted into CO₂ equivalents: CO₂, CH₄ (methane), N₂O (laughing gas), SF₆, HFCs and PFCs.

The carbon accounting is based on the operational control aspect that defines what should be included in the carbon inventory, as well as in the different scopes. When using the control approach to consolidate GHG emissions, companies shall choose between either the operational control or financial control criteria. Under the control approach, a company accounts for the GHG emissions from operations over which it has control. It does not account for GHG emissions from operations in which it owns an interest but has no control.

The carbon inventory is divided into three main scopes of direct and indirect emissions.

Scope 1

Mandatory reporting includes all direct emission sources where the organisation has operational control. This includes all use of fossil fuels for stationary combustion or transportation, in owned, leased or rented assets. It also includes any process emissions, from e.g. chemical processes, industrial gases, direct methane emissions etc.

Scope 2

Mandatory reporting includes indirect emissions related to purchased energy; electricity or heating/cooling where the organisation has operational control. The electricity emission factors used in CEMAsys are based on national gross electricity production mixes on 3 years rolling average (IEA Stat). The Nordic electricity mix covers the weighted production in Sweden, Norway, Finland and Denmark, which reflects the common Nord Pool market area. Emission factors per fuel type are based on assumption in the IEA methodological framework. Factors for district heating/cooling are either based on actual (local) production mixes, or average IEA stat.

In January 2015, the GHG Protocol published new guidelines for calculating emissions from electricity consumption.

Primarily two methods are used to “allocate” the GHG emissions created by electricity generation to the end consumers of a given grid. These are the location-based and the market-based method. The location-based method reflects the average emissions intensity of grids on which energy consumption occurs, while the market-based method reflects emissions from electricity that companies have purposefully chosen (or their lack of choice).

Businesses who report on their GHG emissions will now have to disclose both location-based emissions from the production of electricity and the market-based emissions related to the potential purchase of Guaranties of Origin (GoO).

The purpose of this amendment in the reporting method is on one hand to show the impact of energy efficiency and saving measures, and on the other hand to display how the acquisition of GoOs affect the GHG emissions. Using both methods in the emission reporting highlights the effect of all measures regarding electricity consumption.

The location-based method: The location-based method is based on statistical emissions information and electricity output aggregated and averaged within a defined geographic boundary and during a defined time period. Within this boundary, the different energy producers utilize a mix of energy resources, where the use of fossil fuels (coal, oil and gas) result in direct GHG-emissions. These emissions are reflected in the location-based emission factor.

References:

Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (2019). Government emission conversion factors for greenhouse gas company reporting (DEFRA).

IEA (2019). CO2 emission from fuel combustion, International Energy Agency (IEA), Paris.

IEA (2019). Electricity information, International Energy Agency (IEA), Paris. IMO (2014). Reduction of GHG emissions from ships - Third IMO GHG Study 2014 (Final report). International Maritime Organisation, <http://www.iadc.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/MEPC-67-6-INF3-2014-Final-Reportcomplete.pdf>

IPCC (2014). IPCC fifth assessment report: Climate change 2013 (AR5 updated version November 2014). <http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/>

AIB,RE-DISS (2019). Reliable disclosure systems for Europe – Phase 2: European residual mixes.

WBCSD/WRI (2004). The greenhouse gas protocol. A corporate accounting and reporting standard (revised edition). World Business Council on Sustainable Development (WBCSD), Geneva, Switzerland /World Resource Institute (WRI), Washington DC, USA, 116 pp.

WBCSD/WRI (2011). Corporate value chain (Scope 3) accounting and reporting standard: Supplement to the GHG Protocol corporate accounting and reporting standard. World Business Council on Sustainable Development (WBCSD), Geneva, Switzerland /World Resource Institute (WRI), Washington DC, USA, 149 pp.

WBCSD/WRI (2015). GHG protocol Scope 2 guidance: An amendment to the GHG protocol corporate standard. World Business Council on Sustainable Development (WBCSD), Geneva, Switzerland /World Resource Institute (WRI), Washington DC, USA, 117 pp.

This list of references may not be complete. Depending on the use of the CEMAsys emission factors database, there are several different local and national sources.